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Introduction

A group of 1980’s style locomotive seats were instrumented and tested under
controlled conditions and the data was analyzed with respect to International Standards
Organization’s methods1 concerning whole body vibration (WBV) and potential health
effects. In order to select the two worst performing seats the root-mean-square (RMS),
vibration dose value (VDV) were derived for comparative purposes.

The three worst performing seats were then installed in a locomotive so that data
relative to WBV could be collected and compared under actual operating conditions to
current style locomotive seat data. The data was analyzed relative to WBV ISO and
European guidelines concerning health.1-2

Methods

All of the 1980 style seats were initially tested per ISO1 under controlled
conditions by installing them on the same locomotive operated by the same engineer and
having the WBV data collected over the same portion of track. The engineer was
instructed to take the train up to 10 miles per hour and then at 2 minute increments he
was to increase the velocity another 10 miles per hour until it reached 50 miles per hour
for two minutes and then he was instructed to stop. Each seat test took just under 12
minutes to complete. The three worst performing seats were installed and instrumented
and tested under actual operating conditions and the results from these seats were
compared to current style seat data.

Figure 1
1980’s style “Toadstool” seat and current generation seating
Results

The three worst performing seats were selected based on their VDV vertical axis result. The vertical z-axis was chosen as it has been reported\(^3\)-\(^4\) to have the highest vibration levels in locomotives when measured under actual operating conditions and the VDV value was chosen as it is a more accurate measure compared to RMS of the true vibration dose. Two of the 1980’s style seats are commonly referred to as “toadstool” type seats and one had armrests and the other did not. The third 1980’s style seat tested was in use for less than 5 years. The three 1980’s style seats were installed and tested in actual operating conditions (through freight) and their average results were compared to an average from twenty five previously collected WBV runs from current style seats. All data were derived from seats installed on Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) locomotives in revenue service.

Table 1
Average WBV results from 1980’s and current style seating on locomotives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seat Generation</th>
<th>Hours of exposure</th>
<th>RMS (m/s(^2))</th>
<th>A(8) (m/s(^2))</th>
<th>VDV (m/s(^{1.75}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980’s (n=3)</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000’s (n=25)</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The average WBV results summarized in Table 1 fall below the health guidance caution zone as outlined in the ISO\(^1\) document and are below the daily exposure action value of 0.5 m/s\(^2\) for A(8) and 9.2 m/s\(^{1.75}\) for VDV as outlined in the European Directive. It is clear that the 1980 style seats have higher WBV data values compared to the current generation of seats, however the 1980 style seats were still below current WBV health guidelines. The current generation of locomotive seating also offers more comfort and ergonomic features than their 1980’s counterparts. Locomotive seats that have active vibration dampening devices are currently under development and these seats may offer further WBV performance improvements over the current generation.
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