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River’s story illuminates so many other stories central to the region’s and nation’s history. Many scholars of the Mississippi treat the river as important in and of itself, a *sui generis* geographical and historical phenomenon that is important simply because of its size and centrality to the continent. Of course, that is true; it would be hard to imagine a history or geography of the region that did not take the Mississippi fundamentally into account. Stepenoff’s “smaller” stories, which originate from a particular place or a particular type of work, make very clear how much the river and its corridor of influence have to say to historians of such seemingly disparate subjects as race, class, labor, or gender. Her work provides material for scholars and others interested in how communities work, whether local influences trump national patterns, or how much influence individuals have on particular events, as opposed to the context of their place and time.

Ultimately, that is perhaps the greatest value of Stepenoff’s book: she invites readers to look more closely at subjects they may have a passing familiarity with, and, by doing so, to see connections to ideas and things that they had not thought of as associated with the Mississippi River at all. Hers is not at all the “last word” on the Mississippi River, but rather the first word on a host of subjects that are touched by the river.


Reviewer Darrel E. Bigham is emeritus professor of history and director of Historic Southern Indiana, University of Southern Indiana. He is the author of *On Jordan’s Banks: Emancipation and Its Aftermath in the Ohio River Valley* (2005).

The title of this brief work suggests the need to explore the little-known bonds of affection between slaves or freedpeople and their current or former white owners. The locus is Cincinnati, the midwestern border city with the largest number of African Americans in the antebellum period, where many unmarried mothers settled with their children born of unions with white masters. Many of these were “fancy,” attractive, fair-skinned women whose children were deemed, in the language of the day, “mulatto,” often able to “pass” as whites.

Green wants us to examine not only the well-known matrifocal trend in black families but also the role of white males in the equation. She asserts, “The historical record shows that there was often reciprocal regard, warmth, and even caring in settings where whites and blacks
became trading partners, shipmates, servants, allies, or lovers” (9). Often—as in the case of Ava White and her former owner, Rice Ballard—they and their children were freed and settled in the Queen City, miles distant from owners’ white families. Not all white men were exploitative or consistent in their relations with their female slaves. What former enslaved people did to secure their freedom and support was less important “than what white men permitted of themselves” (9). How black women and their children felt about that was probably shaped by the way they understood male-female and parent-child relations as well as master-slave relations.

Such interracial unions, although stigmatized over time, often led southern white men to invest emotionally and financially in the lives of enslaved women and children. This thesis is supported by detailed examination of the black families of three white planters—Samuel Townsend, Rice Ballard, and John Williams. All three families would settle in Cincinnati. Their prosperity depended not only on their actions but also on their head start as freedpeople, the locus of their settlement, the attitudes of the community, and luck.

This study is organized into four chapters and an epilogue. Chapter one surveys the “hidden life” of the planter. The second and third explore, in turn, the perspectives of white wives and “favored” black women. The last examines the world of the progenies, before and after the Civil War. In the epilogue the author stresses that historical study has paid too much attention to racial oppression and not enough to the intimacies created between white owners and black women, especially those who were fair-skinned. Those women “emerged as both victors and victims, immoral and upright, enslaved and indeed free with white men’s help” (132). It is easier to describe relations as rape and more difficult “to say it was love or something approaching that” (132).

The author has provided a provocative and well-researched investigation of a topic that needs much more attention by students of American history. The traditional view of racial exploitation of black women by white slaveowners certainly is simplistic, but whether her examination of just three case studies in one city suffices to redress the balance remains to be seen. The book’s audience is narrowly academic, as demonstrated by the absence of a narrative approach and the unfortunate tendency to rely on abstract jargon. Too often speculative words like may, probably, possibly, suggests, and evidently (see pp. 24–25, for instance) weaken her arguments. Context—time and space—seem lacking. But this is a stimulating work that should encourage examination of how and why mixed-race families came to settle in other midwestern cities.

Reviewer Timothy Walch is director emeritus of the Herbert Hoover Presidential Library and a volunteer at the State Historical Society of Iowa in Iowa City.

Yet another book about Abraham Lincoln is not always a welcome event. Indeed, it is often said that no historical figure other than Jesus Christ has generated as many books as the sixteenth president of the United States. Can it be that scholars have anything more to say about this man? As surprising as it might be, we have not yet exhausted the topic. Thanks to Charles M. Hubbard, Lincoln Historian at Lincoln Memorial University, we have a fresh collection of essays on various aspects of Lincoln’s application of constitutional law. Together, these nine chapters fit together neatly to remind us of the intricate nature of Lincoln’s views on the law.

As can be surmised from the title, this is not a book for the average history buff. Although all of the essays are well written, the subject matter is specialized. To benefit from this volume, readers should have an interest in how Lincoln applied his personal commitment to the law and his understanding of the Constitution to presidential decision making.

Given that caveat, the book offers a range of perspectives from a diverse collection of experienced Lincoln scholars. The list of topics is impressive: civil liberties, presidential pardons, executive decision making, political ideology, the responsibilities of citizenship, constitutional restraints, the loyalty of government employees, and much more.

Almost in the manner of a jazz ensemble, each contributor steps forward to play a solo. Daniel Stowell focuses on the connection between the law and decision making. Mark Steiner follows on immigration and citizenship. Then comes Charles Hubbard on slavery and national unity and Frank Williams on civil liberties during wartime. Edna Greene Medford evaluates Lincoln’s paradoxical path to emancipation. She is succeeded by Ron Soodalter, who writes about Lincoln’s sense of mercy and social justice. The last three chapters are by Bruce Carnahan (military practices toward civilians), Natalie Sweet (loyalty and treason) and Jason R. Jividen (Lincoln’s impact on his successors).

Even though there is much to admire in these essays, there is precious little on Iowa or the Midwest. Iowa is not mentioned at all, and only Mark Steiner’s essay on Lincoln and citizenship includes brief passages (pp. 7-18 and 36-42) on Lincoln’s life and work in Illinois. Does it matter that this book doesn’t have much to say about Lincoln’s ties to the Midwest? Perhaps not. If it’s true that Lincoln belongs to the ages, it also must be said that he belongs to all the states.