

*Extended Abstract*

## **Quantitative blood loss: a validation study**

Keely Krolkowski Ulmer,<sup>1</sup> Stephanie Radke,<sup>1</sup> Kelli Ryckman<sup>2</sup>

Keywords: Postpartum hemorrhage, calculated blood loss, quantitative blood loss

### **Objective**

To determine if quantitative blood loss would correlate to predicted blood loss based on change in blood concentration of hemoglobin.

### **Methods**

We performed a retrospective cohort study including a total of 820 deliveries at a Midwestern tertiary care center between September 2019 through December 2018 after implementation of a EMR based quantitative blood loss calculator tool used in all deliveries. All subjects regardless of gestational age,

parity, or number of fetuses were included if they had delivery blood loss recorded within our EMR quantitative blood loss calculator. Additional inclusion criteria included record of pre-delivery and postpartum hemoglobin values, with postpartum hemoglobin recorded at least 24 hour post-delivery to allow time for equilibration. Exclusions included cesarean hysterectomy, dilation and evacuation, cases where quantitative blood loss was not properly recorded, or key data was missing. Modified Brecher's formula was utilized for calculated blood loss. Pearson's correlation coefficient was then used to analyze the statistical significance of CBL to QBL. Subgroup

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, 52242

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, 52242

Please cite this abstract as: Ulmer KK, Radke S, Ryckman K. Quantitative blood loss: a validation study. *Proc Obstet Gynecol.* 2021;10(2):Article 8 [ 3 p.]. Available from: <http://ir.uiowa.edu/pog/> Free full text article.

Corresponding author: Keely Krolkowski Ulmer, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Iowa, 200 Hawkins Drive, Iowa City, IA 52242, [keely-ulmer@uiowa.edu](mailto:keely-ulmer@uiowa.edu)

Copyright: © 2021 Ulmer, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

analysis for vaginal deliveries, cesarean deliveries, maternal weight regardless of delivery type, and gestational age was performed. Finally, a multi-sample test of equality of correlation coefficients was done to compare subgroup to determine if there was significant difference between any of these variables.

## Results

Pearson's correlation coefficient was not found to be significant between estimated and calculated blood loss regardless of the amount of blood loss, delivery type, gestational age, or maternal weight. Multiple sample test of equality failed to find any significant differences between subgroups. The strongest correlations were found in deliveries with blood loss greater than 1500 cc with correlation coefficient of 0.2502 P 0.18. Correlations were otherwise poor with P values ranging from 0.18-0.99.

## Conclusion

The correlation between calculated blood using modified Brecher's formula showed poor overall correlation to quantitative blood loss. There was a higher correlation at blood loss greater than 1500 cc which is where estimated blood loss has been shown to be most poor.<sup>1-7</sup> Possible reasons for this poor correlation include maternal factors influencing hemoglobin levels, gestational age, error in blood loss calculation, inaccuracy of Brecher's formula in pregnancy.

## References

1. Bamberg C, Niepraschk-von Dollen K, Mickley L, Henkelmann A, Hinkson L, Kaufner L, von Heymann C, Henrich W, Pauly F. Evaluation of measured postpartum blood loss after vaginal delivery using a collector bag in relation to postpartum hemorrhage management strategies: a prospective observational study. *J Perinat Med.* 2016 May 1;44(4):433-9. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2015-0200> PMID: 26353161.
2. Al Kadri HM, Al Anazi BK, Tamim HM. Visual estimation versus gravimetric measurement of postpartum blood loss: a prospective cohort study. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2011 Jun;283(6):1207-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-010-1522-1> Epub 2010 May 28. PMID: 20508942.
3. Razvi K, Chua S, Arulkumaran S, Ratnam SS. A comparison between visual estimation and laboratory determination of blood loss during the third stage of labour. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1996 May;36(2):152-4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1479-828X.1996.tb03273.x> PMID: 8798302.
4. Patel A, Goudar SS, Geller SE, Kodkany BS, Edlavitch SA, Wagh K, Patted SS, Naik VA, Moss N, Derman RJ. Drape estimation vs. visual assessment for estimating postpartum hemorrhage. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2006 Jun;93(3):220-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2006.08.003>. Epub 2006 Apr 12. Erratum in: *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2006 Dec;95(3):312. PMID: 16626718.
5. Prasertcharoensuk W, Swadpanich U, Lumbiganon P. Accuracy of the blood loss estimation in the third stage of labor. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2000 Oct;71(1):69-70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7292\(00\)00294-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7292(00)00294-0) PMID: 11044547.

6. Tixier H, Boucard C, Ferdynus C, Douvier S, Sagot P. Interest of using an underbuttocks drape with collection pouch for early diagnosis of postpartum hemorrhage. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2011 Jan;283(1):25-9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-009-1265-z> Epub 2009 Oct 30. PMID: 19876638.
7. Tourné G, Collet F, Lasnier P, Seffert P. Intérêt de l'utilisation d'un sac de recueil dans le diagnostic des hémorragies de la délivrance [Usefulness of a collecting bag for the diagnosis of post-partum hemorrhage]. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2004 May;33(3):229-34. French. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0368-2315\(04\)96443-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0368-2315(04)96443-5) PMID: 15170437.

*Presented at "The Challenge of Maternal Mortality," University of Iowa Obstetrics and Gynecology Postgraduate Virtual Conference, 20 November 2020*