

2004

Critique of Pure Reason

Kristen Case

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.uiowa.edu/iowareview>

Part of the [Creative Writing Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Case, Kristen. "Critique of Pure Reason." *The Iowa Review* 34.3 (2004): 22-22. Web.
Available at: <https://doi.org/10.17077/0021-065X.S882>

This Contents is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa Research Online. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Iowa Review by an authorized administrator of Iowa Research Online. For more information, please contact lib-ir@uiowa.edu.

Critique of Pure Reason

When I met you, I turned you over and over in my head,
trying to know what you meant.

Once, looking at you, a word
wrote itself on my mind.

The sense we make of things
(space, time, causation)
is, according to Kant,
a function of the mind's ordering impulse,
rather than a function of the world.

What is this? I said. You said, *I'll tell you what
it isn't.*

Kant's early work deals exclusively
with hurricanes and winds.

Your talk is like religion.
It means everything and nothing.

Act without regard to any end, Kant instructs.
*Act as if the maxim of your action
were to become through your will a general law.*

The maxims of your actions were terrifying.

You told me you had sex for the first time when you were five.

That's not sex, I said.

It felt like sex.

Silence. Wind lifting the leaves outside.

Central to *The Critique of Pure Reason* is Kant's belief
in the unknowableness of things in themselves.

The word was: pain.

I am painting you a picture, you said.