Influence of infertility diagnosis on pregnancy outcome in ART
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Abstract

Objective: To determine the influence of the infertility diagnosis on gestational age (GA) and birth weight (BW) of children conceived using assisted reproductive technology (ART).

Design: Retrospective cohort.

Setting: University-affiliated infertility clinic.

Patients: Women with a singleton live birth following their first fresh ART cycle with autologous oocytes.

Interventions: Patients were stratified into groups based on infertility diagnosis. GA and BW of their infants were compared.

Main Outcome Measures: GA and BW of children conceived using ART.

Results: 397 women were included. Average GA in the cohort was 38.7±2.3 weeks and average BW was 3301.5±633.8 grams. Maternal age, BMI, and parity were significantly different between groups. After controlling for these factors and stratifying by infertility diagnosis, there was no difference in GA or BW in infants conceived with ART when stratified by infertility diagnosis. Our results were not different from the national population; however GA was one week longer in our cohort than in the national ART database. These data suggest a difference exists between our cohort and the ART population at large. We propose that the main difference is our institution’s focus on single embryo transfer.

Conclusions: Contrary to previously reported data, there was no difference in GA or BW in infants conceived with ART when stratified by infertility diagnosis. Our results were not different from the national population; however GA was one week longer in our cohort than in the national ART database. These data suggest a difference exists between our cohort and the ART population at large. We propose that the main difference is our institution’s focus on single embryo transfer.

Introduction

In 2009, one out of every sixty-eight babies, or 60,190 infants, born in the United States were conceived using assisted reproductive technologies (ART). Based on the findings of several previous studies, children conceived using ART have been reported to be at increased risk for preterm birth (PTB) and low birth weight (LBW). In a systematic review by McDonald et al, the odds ratio of PTB was as high as 1.93 and the risk for small for gestational age (SGA) was found to be...
1.59 for singleton pregnancies achieved with ART compared with singletons that were conceived spontaneously. Similarly, a 2004 meta-analysis by Jackson et al. examined 12,283 IVF pregnancies and reported an odds ratio of 2.0 for PTB and 1.8 for LBW. An additional study in 2011 compared sibling pairs who were conceived consecutively; the first was conceived using IVF-ICSI while the second pregnancy was naturally conceived. The authors reported that children conceived with IVF-ICSI had a lower mean birth weight and gestational age at delivery when compared to their naturally conceived siblings.

Although the etiology of these differences is not known, several possible mechanisms have been considered, including advanced maternal age, adverse effects of medications used for ovulation induction, handling and processing of embryos prior to transfer, and the underlying pathology of infertility. Our specific interest lies in the latter. Current data is limited and somewhat contradictory regarding the impact of infertility diagnosis on obstetrical outcome. We hypothesize that infertility diagnosis does in fact have an effect on the obstetrical outcome of singleton pregnancies conceived with ART. Given the lack of consistency in the current literature, we aimed to further evaluate this subject using patients from a single academic medical center.

**Materials and Methods**

In this retrospective cohort study, we examined the differences in gestational age at delivery (GA) and birth weight (BW) by infertility diagnosis in women who underwent singleton birth following their first fresh ART cycle. After receiving IRB approval, information was collected from our internal database on all fresh ART cycles from January 2003 to December 2008. Patients were excluded if they used donor oocytes, frozen embryos, experienced pregnancy loss prior to 20 weeks, or had ongoing multifetal gestation (Figure 1). Prior to oocyte retrieval, patients were treated with either a long luteal GnRH agonist, micro-dose flare agonist, or GnRH antagonist based on patient characteristics and clinician judgment.

The exposure of interest for this study was the infertility diagnosis. In this cohort, infertility diagnosis was classified as male factor, ovulatory dysfunction, endometriosis, tubal factor, unexplained, or a combination of any of the above diagnoses. Male factor was used as the referent group. Clinically significant covariates analyzed were maternal age at the time of stimulation, race, body mass index (BMI), parity, previous obstetrical outcomes, smoking status, day of embryo transfer, and mode of delivery. The outcomes of interest were GA and BW.

Bivariate and multivariate analyses were performed as appropriate. Linear regression models were developed for each outcome, and stepwise, backward regression was used to test the contribution of each variable to the overall result. Variables remained in the model if estimates were changed by more than 10%. Separate models were developed for both outcomes of interest: GA and BW. We also calculated the mean GA as well as BW for the entire cohort and compared our results with
those of the composite results from the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) database using a Z-score.\textsuperscript{5} Statistical significance was defined as a p value of <0.05 using a two-tailed test.

Figure 1. Selection of Study Cohort

Results

A total of 1105 women underwent first-time fresh ART cycles at the University of Iowa during the study period. One hundred and ten women used donor embryos and were excluded. Of the remaining 995 patients, 582 cycles resulted in clinical intrauterine pregnancy. Ninety-eight of these were excluded because of multifetal gestation, leaving 397 women who met inclusion criteria for our study (Figure 1).

Demographic characteristics of the cohort are summarized in Table 1. Average age at oocyte retrieval was 31.8 years (SD\(\pm\)4.3). Average BMI was 27.3 (SD\(\pm\)2.4). Race data was only available on 314 women, with a distribution of 92.0% Caucasian, 3.8% Asian, 1.3% African American, 1.3% Hispanic, and 1.6% other. Two hundred ninety seven women (74.8 %) were nulliparous. Two hundred and forty seven women (62.2%) had day 5
embryo transfers while 150 (37.8%) had transfers on day 3. Of the 397 deliveries, 37 (9.3%) began as a multiple gestation and self-reduced to a singleton. Overall, 254 (64%) infants were delivered vaginally and 143 (36%) were delivered via cesarean section.

Maternal age, BMI and parity were significantly different between women with different infertility diagnoses (p<0.05 for all). Average maternal age (in years), BMI (kg/m2), and percentage of women with previous delivery are reported in Table 1. Day of embryo transfer and mode of delivery data were not significantly different between the groups. Smoking status data was incomplete with only 84 women reporting a history of smoking. This variable was not included in the final model due to the amount of missing data. Maternal race and previous obstetrical outcome were also incomplete were not included in the model.

Age, BMI, and parity were all found to be significant confounders and were included in the final model. After controlling for these covariates, infertility diagnosis did not impact GA (p=0.55) (Table 2). The second model was then used to evaluate the impact of infertility diagnosis on BW. BW was also not found be to statistically different between women in each diagnostic category (p=0.61) (Table 2). Day of embryo transfer and mode of delivery data did not contribute to the estimates and were dropped from the final model. Post hoc power analysis indicated that we had sufficient power to detect a difference of 461 grams as well as a difference of 2 weeks of average gestational age with an alpha of 0.05 and a power of 80% when using this dataset.

The overall average GA in our entire cohort was 38.7 weeks (SD±2.3) with a BW of 3301.5gm (SD±633.8). These did not differ from CDC Birth Data in 2009 for GA and BW which were 38.7 weeks (SD±2.4) and 3296gm (SD±560gm), respectively (Z>0.05 for both GA and BW).

Our reported BW is also similar to the previously reported national norm for patients undergoing IVF treatment, which was 3265gm (SD±611). However, in our cohort babies were born, on average, 7 days later than that reported by Gibbons et al. (38.7±2.3 weeks vs. 37.7±2.2 weeks, respectively).

**Discussion**

In this cohort of women undergoing first fresh cycle of IVF in our center, gestational age at delivery and birth weight did not vary according to infertility diagnoses. We did not find an increased risk for preterm delivery or small for gestational age in our patients. Our results were not different from reported national averages from the general population. When comparing our results to those reported by Gibbons et al. in a large cohort of patients in the national SART database, birth weight was not different. However, women in our cohort had an average gestational age at delivery that was seven days longer than results reported in this article. This finding suggests that some aspect of our dataset is fundamentally different from the national ART data. We were unable to find any clear associations to account for these differences.
One possible explanation for the longer gestational period in our cohort is the difference in practice patterns between our institution and the other clinics. Specifically, we have an aggressive mandatory single embryo transfer (SET) policy that would have limited the number of women who began with a multifetal gestation. In fact, 46.3% of women in our cohort underwent SET, and as a result, only 9.32% of women were documented to have a vanishing twin pregnancy. Our inclusion criteria of singleton delivery has perhaps selected for a larger proportion of patients who underwent SET, however our institution has been reported in the literature to have an overall rate of SET of 28% for all patients undergoing embryo transfer from June 2004 to May 2009.7 This is significantly greater than the national average reported in a survey of the SART database showing a rate of only 4-5% SET between the years 2004 and 2006.8 The presence of a second gestational sac that spontaneously disappears after eight weeks gestation has been associated with poorer obstetrical outcomes in several previous studies, including preterm delivery, low birth weight, very low birth weight, and postnatal mortality in survivor singleton pregnancies9,10 Further subgroup analysis within our cohort was considered, but was not performed because of the very small number of women with a vanishing twin.

Another possible explanation for the difference in our results is the limited racial diversity among the women in our cohort. We cannot specifically assess the influence of race on GA and BW in this cohort due to missing data; however, it can be assumed that our population may be somewhat more homogeneous than what is reported in other cohorts. The state census from 2011 reported the Iowa population to be 88.4% white non-Hispanic, 5.2% Hispanic or Latino, and 3.1% black. This differs from the nationally reported distribution in the same year of 63.4% white non-Hispanic, 16.7% Hispanic or Latino, and 13.1% black.11

Irrespective of the etiology of the difference found in GA for our cohort when compared to the national SART data, it is most important to consider the clinical significance of such a difference. The importance of an additional week of intrauterine growth and development should not be underestimated. Several studies in the pediatric and neonatology literature have suggested that a difference of one week, even at term, can decrease neonatal morbidity and mortality. In one large study from the UK, infants born between 37-38 weeks were at 120 times more likely to require ventilator support for respiratory distress syndrome secondary to surfactant deficiency than were those born at 39 weeks.12
Table 1. Patient demographics by infertility diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Male Factor</th>
<th>Ovulatory Dysfunction</th>
<th>Endometriosis</th>
<th>Tubal Factor</th>
<th>Unexplained</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=397</td>
<td>N=103</td>
<td>N=49</td>
<td>N=32</td>
<td>N=61</td>
<td>N=77</td>
<td>N=75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (y), mean ± SD</td>
<td>31.7±4.3</td>
<td>30.3±3.8</td>
<td>31.0±4.9</td>
<td>33.2±3.5</td>
<td>32.8±4.2</td>
<td>32.6±4.5</td>
<td>32.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range*</td>
<td>(21.0-42.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2), mean ± SD</td>
<td>27.3±2.4</td>
<td>25.4±5.5</td>
<td>31.4±8.1</td>
<td>26.2±4.8</td>
<td>28.2±5.9</td>
<td>25.0±5.3</td>
<td>27.4±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range*</td>
<td>(17.1-51.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of embryo transfer(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value< 0.05
Among infants born by elective cesarean sections, Halliday et al. found that the risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity decreased with increasing gestational age at delivery, from 7.4% at 37 weeks to 4.2% at 38 weeks and 1.8% at 39 weeks gestation. Highlighting this clinical importance, the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology recently launched an educational initiative to decrease the rate of elective delivery prior to 39 weeks.

Few groups have examined the association between infertility diagnosis and pregnancy outcome following ART. The results of these studies are incongruous. One study suggests that female infertility diagnosis but not male factor, is associated with reduction in BW and GA, whereas another suggests that there is an increased incidence of preterm birth in women with endometriosis and anovulation, but that postnatal admission to the neonatal intensive care unit is increased only in patients with male factor infertility. Although the findings are not consistent, they suggest that the pathology of the infertility may have a greater influence on birth weight and the length of gestation than has been previously appreciated. Our findings, however, did not support this idea.

### Table 2. Mean birth weight and gestational age at delivery by infertility diagnosis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Birth Weight (gms) (Mean±SD)**</th>
<th>Gestational Age at Delivery (wks) (Mean±SD)#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male factor</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3247.3±129.9</td>
<td>38.7±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulatory dysfunction</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3329.5±186.4</td>
<td>38.6±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3308.8±228.3</td>
<td>38.4±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal factor</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>3424.5±170.2</td>
<td>38.9±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3277.9±147.5</td>
<td>38.9±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3228±149.8</td>
<td>38.2±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>3301.5±633.8</td>
<td>38.7±2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Separate linear regression model adjusted for maternal age, BMI, and parity for each outcome: birth weight and gestational age at delivery
**p=0.61
#p=0.55

A recent database study from Finland compared pregnancy outcomes of women who conceived with ART (n=428) with women who were considered subfertile (time to pregnancy of greater than two years) (n=928). They found no difference in risk of preterm birth or small for gestational age between the groups. Both groups, however, when compared with a control group of over 18,000 pregnancies conceived spontaneously within 6 months, were noted to be at increased risk of preterm birth and low birth weight with odds ratios of 1.56 and 1.92, respectively. This study supports the
premise that intrinsic maternal factors, perhaps infertility diagnosis itself, and not treatment with ART may play an important role in the risk of preterm delivery and low birth weight pregnancies. Despite the fact that we expected to find a similar association, our data seems to suggest that rather there is no correlation between infertility diagnosis and obstetrical outcome.

Conclusions

A strength of this study is the large number of women from a single ART center. Because the number of providers is limited and because our cycles are highly protocol driven, there is less variation in diagnostic coding and practice patterns within our cohort. In addition, all of the embryos were cultured in a single laboratory limiting possible differences in technique. For this reason our outcomes may be less biased than those from a large multicenter analysis. Limitations of this study include the retrospective design and incomplete data points, specifically for smoking status, which is generally thought to have a significant impact on obstetric outcome. It is also important to point out that our population has an unknown proportion of patients using IVF versus IVF with intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), the latter being associated with a small increased risk for preterm delivery.

In conclusion, the average gestational age at delivery and average birth weight in infants conceived with ART in our cohort did not vary according to maternal infertility diagnosis. When comparing our data to the reported norms from the national SART database, there was no difference in average birth weight, however, our cohort maintained pregnancy for one week longer. This may be related to the aggressive single embryo transfer policy at our institution. Additional studies are needed to further explore the possible impact of SET on gestational age at delivery.
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