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Bleyer, Bill. “History of Walt Whitman House Rewritten.” *Newsday* (February 9, 2014). [Reports on a picture postcard of the Walt Whitman Birthplace sent in 1908 that proves the kitchen wing of the house was not destroyed in a 1910 fire, as had been reported at the time, since the photo shows the kitchen wing was already gone.]

Buck, Neal E. “Whitman in *The Waves*.” *Literary Imagination* 15 (November 2013), 300-314. [Reviews Virginia Woolf’s comments on Whitman and his work, and suggests that “pleasure, inclusiveness, and unity . . . were the features that drew Woolf to Whitman when these same features seemed stripped from her own surroundings”; argues that the two authors “share a democratic artistic vision” in which “the democratic *en masse*—real people and real things, not the aristocratic intelligentsia—will become the poets and they will legislate themselves”; proposes that in *The Waves*, Woolf draws upon “the Whitmanic ideal” to criticize “failed attempts” at achieving the democratic vision, and “Whitman thus provides a model for Woolf,” who, when she “needed a new form for expressing the ordinary,” looked to Whitman and used his ideas of “unity in diversity” to “critique the political problems prevalent in her own day and to offer a vision of what democracy would look like in the modern world,” though she remained “less optimistic about this vision than Whitman,” showing through her character Bernard “just how difficult it is to walk the fine line of democracy between anarchy and assimilation.”]
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Dandeles, Gregory M. “The Laurel Tree Cudgel: War and Walt Whitman in Allen Ginsberg’s ‘America.’” *Journal of American Culture* 36 (September 2013), 221-229. [Summarizes Whitman’s extensive influence on Allen Ginsberg’s poetry; offers an overview of Whitman’s Civil War poetry; observes that “where much of Ginsberg’s poetry is overtly political and harshly anti-war, Whitman was often an adamantly pro-war poet”;
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Lincoln summoned to authorize poetic speech by guaranteeing that it tallies the occasion which it is called for to commemorate.”]

Neufeld, Zachery Daniel. “Voyage of the Soul.” M.A. Thesis, University of California, Los Angeles, 2013. [Concert work for baritone, piano, and string quartet, with text created from six poems in Leaves of Grass; Proquest Dissertations and Theses (MAI 52/01, February 2014).]
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(1-11): “I’ve attempted to liberate the words from their blocks of verse, and allow the lines to flow freely about the page, like a stream or a bustling city crowd.”]

Zacharakos, Andriana. “On This Date in 1892: Walt Whitman’s Death, and His 1847 ‘Death in the School-Room’ Piece for the Brooklyn Eagle.” Brooklyn Daily Eagle (March 26, 2014). [Analyzes and reprints Whitman’s “Death in the School-Room,” pointing out that this “quite obviously heartbreaking” story was originally “published on Christmas Eve, a day that is traditionally held for celebration, just following a Christmas Hymn in the paper”—an “ironic or brilliant” choice by Whitman—and goes on to examine how “the powerful story successfully examines a mixture of social, political, and cultural New York City issues which are still relevant today such as education-quality, classism, poverty, power, death, and both mental and physical child abuse in the schools,” not to mention “the strong yet subtle homo-eroticism just beneath the surface of almost every aspect of the story.”]
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“Walt Whitman: A Current Bibliography,” now covering work on Whitman from 1838 to the present, is available in a fully searchable format online at the Walt Whitman Quarterly Review website (ir.uiowa.edu/wwqr/) and at the Walt Whitman Archive (whitmanarchive.org).