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To the sorrows of modern Ireland John Montague has brought an historian’s understanding and a harper’s delicate music. He has kept faith with his lyric gift while bearing witness to violent events. Born in Brooklyn in 1929, thirteen years after the Easter Rising and nine years after partition, Montague might have preferred a contemplative’s detachment, had history not thrust him into the roles of activist, elegist, and interpreter of political upheaval. An internationalist at heart, he has accepted the nationalist’s mantle reluctantly, in the manner of an exile rather than a native son, but he has worn it with distinction. In three book-length sequences of poems (The Rough Field, 1972; The Great Cloak, 1978; The Dead Kingdom, 1984) he has told a painful personal story—a tale of dislocation, loss, separation, and renewal. Beyond that, he has told the story of Northern Ireland as no other poet has, tracing the present sectarian violence to its roots in Jacobean Ulster. Wary of the “lyric memory” that would “soften the fact” (“The Dancehall”), he has sought “exactness,” employing a “low-pitched style” that will not “betray the event.” Yet his quiet music has persisted, braced and chastened by its encounters with civil strife.

Montague’s Selected Poems gathers the work of six previous collections. Its earliest poem dates from 1952, its most recent from the early ’80s. Montague’s diverse subjects include mummers, wartime prison camps, cherished landscapes and troublesome mythologies, monastic relics and Gaelic antiquities, oracular elders and loving aunts. His abiding themes are loss and recurrence (“With all my circling a failure to return”), and his dominant tone is elegiac. But when his thoughts turn to matters of politics and religion, his fluent voice grows harsh. For Montague is an Ulster Catholic, a Northern Republican, reared on a farm in the town land of Garvaghey in Co. Tyrone. As he communes with his ancestors, or surveys the “shards of a lost tradition,” conciliatory talk gives way to bitter anger. Legacies of dispossession perturb his contemplative calm:
This bitterness
I inherit from my father, the
swarm of blood
to the brain, the vomit surge
of race hatred,
the victim seeing the oppressor,
bold Jacobean
planter, or gadget laden marine,
who has scatter-
ed his household gods, used
his people
as servants, flushed his women
like game.

("Sound of a Wound")

Montague's gentler poems reclaim his rural boyhood. Their setting is
the North of Ireland, west of the Bann. Their era is the '30s and '40s,
when electrification and mechanization were altering the Irish country-
side. In a recent interview, Montague regretted the loss of small farms like
his family's at Garvaghey, which have been replaced by large-scale factory
farms, with their "little white eggs" and "hormone-plumped beef."* At
the same time, he acknowledged that farm life of the '30s was often brutal,
that "people died early." And, in any event, bulldozers have long since re-
shaped the "hedged, hillocky / Tyrone grassland," producing such develop-
ments as the new Omagh Highway—and stripping away the objects of
nostalgia.

Montague's realism steadies his backward looks, where the obvious
risks are triteness and sentimentality. At times, his lyric memory does get
the better of him, as in his sentimental eulogy for Tim, "the first horse I
rode / seasick on his barrel back" ("Tim"), or in his pastel sketches of the
Irish peasantry:

'I like to look across,' said
Barney Horish, leaning on his lean,
'And think of all the people
'Who have bin.'

("The Road's End")
Yet, in his stronger poems, Montague takes a sterner look, as he chronicles such changes as the replacement of horses by machines, the “bulky harness and sucking step” giving way to the tractor’s noise and smoke. Rather than mourn the people who have been, or sigh for the farmer leaning on his turf spade, these poems look clearly and steadily at rural life:

In the girdered dark
of the byre, cattle move;
warm engines hushed
to a siding groove

before the switch flicks
down for milking.
In concrete partitions
they rattle their chains

while the farmhand eases
rubber tentacles to tug
lightly but rhythmically
on their swollen dugs

and up the pale cylinders
of the milking machine
mounts an untouched
steadily pulsing stream.

Only the tabby steals
to dip its radar whiskers
with old fashioned relish
in a chipped saucer

and before Seán lurches
to kick his boots off
in the night-silent kitchen
he draws a mug of froth
to settle on the sideboard
under the hoard of delft.
A pounding transistor shakes
the Virgin on her shelf

as he dreams toward bed.
A last glance at a magazine,
he puts the mug to his head,
grunts, and drains it clean.

("A Drink of Milk")

These lines owe something to Patrick Kavanagh, who taught Montague’s
generation to value the common life of the parish. At the same time, they
share little of Kavanagh’s Catholic mysticism, and they reveal an affinity
with William Carlos Williams, whom Montague met while studying at
Iowa City in the mid-‘50s. The domestic surroundings, the sharp visual de-
tails, and the colloquial idiom recall Williams’s shorter poems; and though
Montague’s setting is remote, in spirit he is not far from Williams’s cat
climbing over the jamcloset or his “poor old woman” eating plums on the
street. What sets Montague’s version apart is its backward look, its muted
wit, and its adventurous use of traditional form. Homely images grow
bright within the confines of irregular quatrains and imperfect rhymes.
Compressed syntax heightens the plain diction of the closing stanza.

Elsewhere, Montague looks much further back, as he ponders the depths
of the Gaelic past. Denis Donoghue (in We Irish, Knopf, 1986) has noted
the Irish predilection for juxtaposing Celtic heroes and modern per-
sonae—Cú Chulainn and the likes of Leopold Bloom—for the purposes of
irony or social comment. Montague sometimes allows the past to interro-
gate the present, or vice versa, as in “Old Mythologies,” where a “whole
dormitory of heroes turn over” in their graves, “regretting their butchers’
days.” More often, he gazes with reverence at the regions of the Gael-
tacht, where the ancient ways have been preserved, and the mellifluous
Irish language, however threatened, is still intact. Like Pearse Hutchin-
son, Paul Muldoon, and other postwar Irish poets who write in English,
Montague often introduces Irish words and phrases, invoking what
Donoghue has called the “true voice of feeling” for an Irish poet. “Tá an
Ghaedilg againn arís,” intones a speaker in “A Lost Tradition,” chanting a
“rusty litany of praise”: “We have the Irish again.”

It is not easy for an American reader to separate the strands of nationalist sentiment and original perception in Montague’s encounters with Gaelic culture. As director of Claddagh Records, Montague has been active in the revival of traditional Irish music, and he has written a moving elegy for Sean O’Riada, the Irish composer. At the same time, he has stood apart from the narrow ideologies of Irish nationalism, and in his best work a romantic vision of Ireland, derived from Patrick Pearse and Eamonn de Valera, co-exists with a sceptic’s sense of history. In “A Grafted Tongue,” an Irish schoolchild of the post-Famine years weeps as he attempts to learn the King’s English:

After each mistake
    The master
gouges another mark
on the tally stick
hung about its neck

    Like a bell
on a cow, a hobble
on a straying goat . . .

Decades later
that child’s grandchild’s
speech stumbles over lost syllables of an old order.

And in “The Answer,” the poet visits a cottage on the Dingle Peninsula, where the woman of the house “proffers / the ritual greetings”:

\[
\begin{align*}
    & Dia dhuit / \\
    & Dia agus Muire dhuit / \\
    & Dia agus Muire \\
    & agus Padraig dhuit
\end{align*}
\]

    invocation of powers
    to cleanse the mind.

186
Then the question
and the answer.
"What did she say?"
I was asked when I came back to the car
but could only point the way
over the hill to where
obscured in sea
mist, the small, grey stones of the oratory
held into the Atlantic for a thousand years.

Here the speaker, a lapsed Catholic asking for directions to the Gallarus Oratory, receives a blessing ("God—Mary and Patrick—to you"). This encounter with the "old way, / the way of courtesy," and with the thousand-year-old culture embodied in its language, leaves the modern, secular poet speechless.

It is characteristic of Montague to give the speaking part—the voice of the ancient culture—to a woman, especially an elderly, Irish-speaking woman. The hag or cailleach is a familiar figure in Irish poetry, but in Montague's poems it is a recurrent motif, akin to Yeats's winding stair or tower. Whether she take the form of the Sean Bhean Bhoc't (the poor old woman), "eyes rheumy with racial memory," or an aged matriarch, "jowls weathered past yellow to old gold," or the Hag of Beare in Montague's fine translation of the ninth-century poem, this fearsome woman haunts Montague's imagination, both as a repository of wisdom and as a symbol of ancient cultural constraints. Nowhere is Montague's sceptical sensibility, his resistance to romantic mythologies, more fully tested than in his meditations on these archetypal figures.

In the most powerful of these poems, a narrative describing the attempted rape of an elderly cottager by a drunken intruder, the cailleach is an old woman of Garvaghey, one of the "dolmens round [the poet's] childhood." As a boy, the speaker was frightened by her "great hooked nose" and "mottled claws." Now in his manhood, he is moved by the terrible story she confides:

In the darkness
they wrestle, two creatures crazed
with loneliness, the smell of the
decaying cottage in his nostrils
like a drug, his body heavy on hers,
the tasteless trunk of a seventy-year-old virgin, which he rummages while
she battles for life

bony fingers
searching desperately to push
against his bull neck. ‘I prayed
to the blessed Virgin herself
for help and after a time
I broke his grip’

He rolls
to the floor, snores asleep,
while she cowers until dawn
and the dogs’ whimpering starts
him awake, to lurch back across
the wet bog.

(“The Wild Dog Rose”)

Bizarre and mysterious as this story is, its power derives largely from its
understated naturalism. Montague’s exact description, strong enjambments (“of the / decaying”; “seventy-year- / old virgin”), and condensed
phrasing (“snores asleep”; “cowers until dawn”) counter any tendency to-
ward melodrama or mystification. We are in the eerie precincts of the
bogs, to be sure, but we are very far from the mists of the Celtic Twilight.

“It’s a great pity,” Montague has remarked (in the interview cited ear-
lier) “that we gave up the storytelling aspect of poetry . . . Shakespeare’s
full of stories; even Spenser, whom as a man I detest, has some splendid
stories . . .” Montague is himself an accomplished writer of short stories,
with one collection (Death of a Chieftain, 1964) to his credit and another in
the making. In his verse he has shied away from the long narrative poem,
but he has shown a liking for the shorter forms of narrative—the anec-
dote, parable, or fable. His forte is not the intricate plot but the telling
detail, the image that tells the story. In “The Witness,” an opening stanza
creates a rich, sharply defined interior:
By the crumbling fire we talked
Animal-dazed by the heat
While the lawyer unhooked a lamp
From peat blackened rafters
And climbed the circle of stairs.

In “The Lost Tradition,” place-names and visual details, carefully chosen, recreate a tragic moment in Irish history:

*Tír Eoghaín:* Land of Owen
Province of the O’Niall;
The ghostly tread of O’Hagan’s
Barefoot gallowglasses marching
To merge forces in Dun Geanainn

Push southward to Kinsale!
Loudly the war-cry is swallowed
In swirls of black rain and fog
As Ulster’s pride, Elizabeth’s foemen,
Founder in a Munster bog.

Within the compass of two stanzas, Montague evokes the defeat of Hugh O’Neill’s forces at Kinsale in 1602, which precipitated the Flight of the Earls (1607) and augured the end of the Gaelic social order. *The Rough Field,* from which “A Lost Tradition” has been extracted, tells that story in much greater detail, but the method remains the same. Fragments and anecdotes, artfully arranged, do the work of continuous narrative. Historical moments are glimpsed through the loopholes of well-made lyric poems.

Montague employs similar means in his dealings with the present violence in the North. Here, however, his manner and his intent are sometimes at odds. For if his impressions of Derry and Belfast, rendered through brittle images and truncated syntax, create a sense of political chaos and sectarian fragmentation, his general intent is to create a healing vision, a balanced and integrated whole. “A New Siege” records the struggle in Belfast:
Lines of suffering
lines of defeat
under the walls
ghetto terraces
sharp pallor of
unemployed shades
slope shouldered
broken bottles
pubs and bookies
red brick walls
Falls or Shankhill . . .

But in “The Unpartitioned Intellect,” an eloquent plea for an “open sensibility” and a united Ireland, Montague renounces the “partitioned intellect” represented, on the one side, by de Valera and the Gaelic Athletic Association, and, on the other, by Ian Paisley and the Orange Order. In its place he would have “a sensibility which is prepared to entertain, to be sympathetic to, all the traditions of which our country can be said to be composed.” Its emblem would be the harp of the United Irishmen. Its symbol would be the Irish poet Francis Ledgwide (1891–1917), who mourned the execution of the leaders of the Easter Rising, while “wearing the British army uniform in which he was to die. . . .” (“The Unpartitioned Intellect,” in Irish Writers and Society at Large, ed. Masaru Sekine, Colin Smythe, 1985).

Montague has acknowledged his “ferocious Republican background,” and in the imagery of The Rough Field at least one critic (George Watson, in Sekine, op cit.) has found a Catholic racial myth and a nationalist’s biased history. Nevertheless, Montague’s plea for tolerance rings as true as the strident complaints he has uttered elsewhere; and his many love poems express an emotion equal to sectarian hatred. Born and bred a partisan, he has not shrunk from the national debate, but he has also shown a capacity for disengagement and impartial detachment. Such is his perspective in “What a View,” where a fictive seagull looks down on the rifts in Garvaghey:
He would be lost,
my seagull, to see
why the names on

one side of the street
(MacAteer, Carney)
are Irish and ours

and the names across
(Carnew, MacCrea)
are English and theirs . . .

and if a procession,
Orange or Hibernian,
came stepping through

he would hear the
same thin, scannel
note, under the drums.

In another poet this vison might seem offensively Olympian—or naively utopian. A detractor might object that the MacCreas are by now as Irish as the MacAteers, and that such distinctions perpetuate the divisions they purport to mend. Yet in a poet as grounded in history as Montague, as attuned to his country’s intractable quarrels, this gentle fantasy has healing powers. “More substance in our enmities,” wrote Yeats, “than in our love.” To such pessimism Montague’s sturdy, curative voice offers a strong rejoinder.

* Mr. Montague was interviewed by Earl Ingersoll and Ben Howard in Brockport, New York, on October 4, 1985. The interview is forthcoming in The Literary Review.